



## Results IDE U RAD

### Za Vrijeme

A repeated measures ANOVA, with Greenhouse-Geisser correction, was conducted to assess whether there were differences between the average ratings of the "Vrijeme". Results indicated that participants did rate the "Vrijeme" differently, There's an interaction in Vrijeme  $F( 2,196 ; 98,811 ) = 724,261 ; p < 0,001$ , eta2 = 0,942. (in table **Tests of Within-Subjects Effects** ).

The means and standard deviations for the "Vrijeme" listed in order.

Ovaj dio kaže kako između pojedinih mjerenja ART (u vremenskim trenutcima T1, T2, T3 i T4) ima statistički značajnih razlika, a to se iz "aviona" vidi i na grafu (gore).

Polynomial contrasts indicated, in support of this, there was a significant linear trend,  $F( 1 ; 45 ) = 236,020 ; p < 0,001$ , eta2 = 0,840. (in table **Tests of Within-Subjects Contrasts** ).

This finding was qualified by the significant quadratic trend,  $F( 1 ; 45 ) = 1083,840 ; p < 0,001$ , eta2 = 0,960. (in table **Tests of Within-Subjects Contrasts** ).

This finding was qualified by the significant cubic trend,  $F( 1 ; 45 ) = 552,143 ; p < 0,001$ , eta2 = 0,925. (in table **Tests of Within-Subjects Contrasts** ).

### Za Vrijeme and grupa

A repeated measures ANOVA, with Greenhouse-Geisser correction, was conducted to assess whether there were differences between the average ratings of the "Vrijeme and grupa". Results indicated that participants did rate the "Vrijeme and grupa" differently, There's an interaction between Vrijeme and grupa  $F( 2,196 ; 98,811 ) = 267,137 ; p < 0,001$ , eta2 = 0,856. (in table **Tests of Within-Subjects Effects** ).

The means and standard deviations for the "Vrijeme and grupa" listed in order.

Ovaj dio je slično što kaže i obična ANOVA između BOL i KII ima statistički značajne razlike (u pojedinim trenutcima mjerenja) slično kao Daniel-ARTT1-4\_ANOVA\_2kG0507b-tumacenje.docx

Polynomial contrasts indicated, in support of this, there was a significant linear trend,  $F( 1 ; 45 ) = 310,540 ; p < 0,001$ , eta2 = 0,873. (in table **Tests of Within-Subjects Contrasts** ).

This finding was qualified by the significant quadratic trend,  $F( 1 ; 45 ) = 314,852 ; p < 0,001$ , eta2 = 0,875. (in table **Tests of Within-Subjects Contrasts** ).

This finding was qualified by the significant cubic trend,  $F( 1 ; 45 ) = 106,826 ; p < 0,001$ , eta2 = 0,704. (in table **Tests of Within-Subjects Contrasts** ).